



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/501,332	02/09/2000	Charles Merriam	5437-055	3704	
22835	7590 05/19/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
•	UGHAN & FLEMING	HA, LEY	HA, LEYNNA A		
508 SECON SUITE 201	D STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAVIS, CA	95616		2135		

DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/			
Advisory Action	09/501,332	MERRIAM, CHARLE	:s /			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	LEYNNA T. HA	2135	•			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applicat	to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final (on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) M they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			•			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			•			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	· <i>, ,</i> —		nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:	•					
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-33</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) applied on is a)	roved or b) disapproved by ti	he Examiner.				
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)





Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The amended independent claims (3/16/2004) states the system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it, thereb storing only encrypted information to the repository. However, any added subject matter or limitations added after the Final rejection will not be considered or examined.

Boneh teaches the system associating the keys with the set of information and encrypting it before storing the encrypted information and not the unencrypted form to the backup system (col.4, lines 38 thru col.5, line 5). Applicant's "information system" is the backup system on Boneh where it is inherent the backup system only stores the encrypted information since the information needed to be encrypted by the encryption device prior to storing the file within the backup system (col.4, lines 17-44). Therefore, Boneh does not leave any "local persistent file" unencrypted within the backup system. Further, Boneh teaches that once the key file is lost or deleted, the set of information is inaccessible (col.5, lines 1-5). Applicant only claims that the information is being purged by deleting the keys. To purge is to eliminate and to render is to produce an image from the data file so unrenderable is to unable to produce the image from the data file. Thus, the data associated to the key is unable to be produced or accessed from the system once the key of that particular file is deleted. In conclusion, Boneh teaches the claim language of the amended claims 1, 12, and 23.

CERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED

FECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100